arXiv:1212.4977v1 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2012

Fano manifolds having $(n-1,0)$-type extremal rays with large Picard number

Kento Fujita

December 21, 2012

Abstract

We classify smooth Fano manifolds X with the Picard number $\rho_X \geq 3$ such that there exists an extremal ray which has a birational contraction that maps a divisor to a point.

1 Introduction

Let X be a (smooth) Fano manifold with $\dim X \geq 3$. It is very powerful tool to classify and evaluate those X that we see *extremal rays* of X. In fact, Mori and Mukai succeeded in classifying Fano threefolds by viewing extremal rays in detail [MM81]. It is very difficult to consider higher dimensional Fano manifolds in general. However, if there exists a "special" extremal ray, then we can get various information about X. For example, Bonavero, Campana and Wiśniewski classified in [BCW02] that the Fano manifold X which has a extremal ray which induces the blowing up of a smooth variety along a point.

Recently, Tsukioka and Casagrande (see [Tsu06, Cas09]) showed that if there exists an extremal ray which has a birational contraction that maps a divisor to a point, then the Picard number ρ_X of X is at most three. This can be seen a kind of generalization of the result of [BCW02].

Our main result is to classify those X with maximal Picard number.

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *X is a Fano manifold such that $\rho_X \geq 3$ and there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(n-1,0)$,*

(2) *$X \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_W Y$ such that the following holds:*

  (a) *$\pi\colon Y = \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(s)) \to Z$, where Z is an $(n-1)$-dimensional Fano manifold of $\rho_Z = 1$ with index r such that the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$.*

  (b) *The inequality $r > s > 0$ holds.*

(c) *$D \subset Y$ is a section of π with $\mathcal{N}_{D/Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(s)$ and $W \subset D$ is a smooth divisor with $W \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$.*

(d) *The inequality $r > d - s$ holds.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his graditude to Professor Shigefumi Mori for warm encouragements. The author is partially supported by JSPS Fellowships for Young Scientists.

Notation and terminology. We always work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. The theory of extremal contraction, we refer the readers to [KM98]. For a smooth projective variety X of dimension n and a K_X-negative extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$, let $\mathrm{cont}_R \colon X \to Y_R$ be the associated extremal contraction corresponds to R. We also let

$$\mathrm{Exc}(R) := \mathrm{Exc}(\mathrm{cont}_R) = \{x \in X \,|\, \mathrm{cont}_R \text{ is not isomorphism around } x\}.$$

We say R is *of fiber type* (resp. *divisorial, small*) if the associated contraction morphism $\mathrm{cont}_R \colon X \to Y$ is of fiber type (resp. divisorial, small). We say that R (or cont_R) is *of type* (m, l) (or *of* (m, l)*-type*) if $\dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) = m$ and $\dim \mathrm{cont}_R(\mathrm{Exc}(R)) = l$. We also say that R (or cont_R) is *of type* $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ (or *of* $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$*-type*) if the morphism cont_R is the blowing up morphism of a smooth projective variety along a smooth subvariety of codimension 2.

For a proper variety X, the Picard number of X is denoted by ρ_X. For a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$, let $\mathrm{N}_1(Y, X)$ be the image of the morphism $\mathrm{N}_1(Y) \to \mathrm{N}_1(X)$.

We say X is a *Fano manifold* if X is a smooth projective variety whose anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. For a Fano manifold X, let its *index* be

$$\max\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid -K_X \sim mL \text{ for some Cartier divisor } L\}.$$

For abbreviation, we let *pt* stand *point.*

2 Preliminaries

We consider the case that there exists a prime divisor $E \subset X$ such that $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E, X) = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety and $E \subset X$ be a prime divisor with $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E, X) = 1$. We assume that there exists a K_X-negative extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ such that $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{cont}_R(E)$ is not a point. Then we have $(E \cdot R) > 0$ and R is either of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ or the morphism cont_R is a conic bundle.*

Proof. There exists an irreducible curve $C \subset X$ with $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $[C] \in R$. If $C \subset E$, then $\mathrm{cont}_R(E) = \mathrm{pt}$ holds. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $(E \cdot R) > 0$ holds since $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $C \not\subset E$. Using same argument, we have

$C' \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $C' \not\subset E$ for any curve $C' \subset X$ with $[C'] \in R$ since $(E \cdot C') > 0$ holds. If there exists a closed subvariety $S \subset X$ such that $\mathrm{cont}_R(S) = \mathrm{pt}$ and $\dim S \geq 2$, then there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset S \cap E$. However, such C satisfies that $[C] \in R$ and $C \subset E$, this leads to a contradiction. Thus all fiber of cont_R are of dimension ≤ 1. Therefore R is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ or cont_R is a conic bundle by Ando's classification result [And85, Wiś91]. $\square$

We classify smooth projective varieties having $(n-1, 0)$-type or $(n, 1)$-type (K_X-negative) extremal contraction and having $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure. This classification result is essential for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let Y be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety. We assume that there exists distinct K_X-negative extremal rays R, $R' \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(Y)$ with the associated contraction morphisms $\sigma := \mathrm{cont}_R \colon Y \to V$, $\pi := \mathrm{cont}_{R'} \colon Y \to Z$, respectively. We assume that those R and R' satisfy the following properties:*

1. *There exists a prime divisor $E \subset Y$ such that $\sigma(E) = pt$.*
2. *π is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.*

Then $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$ such that

1. *Z is an $(n-1)$-dimensional Fano manifold of $\rho_Z = 1$ with index r such that the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$,*
2. *$r > s \geq 0$.*

Proof. We can show that R is of type $(n-1, 0)$ or $(n, 1)$ since $\sigma(E) = \mathrm{pt}$. We replace E by a general smooth fiber of σ if R is of type $(n, 1)$. The restriction morphism $\pi|_E \colon E \to Z$ is a finite morphism, thus $\pi|_E$ is surjective. We have $\rho_Z = 1$ since $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E, Y) = 1$. Hence $\rho_Y = 2$ holds. In particular, Y is a Fano manifold since there exists at least two K_X-negative extremal rays. Therefore Z is also a Fano manifold by [KMM92, Corollary 2.9]. Let r be the index of Z and $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ be the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$.

Claim 2.3. *E is a section of π (i.e. the restriction morphism $\pi|_E \colon E \to Z$ is isomorphism).*

Proof of Claim 2.3. If $\pi|_E$ is unramified, then $\pi|_E$ is étale , hence $\pi|_E$ is isomorphism since E and Z are smooth Fano manifolds under the assumption. Thus it is enough to show that $\pi|_E$ is unramified.

We assume that there exists a branch point $z \in Z$ of $\pi|_E$. Then we can pick general smooth (very free) rational curve $z \in B \subset Z$ with $B \not\subset \mathrm{Br}(\pi|_E)$, where $\mathrm{Br}(\pi|_E)$ is the branch locus of $\pi|_E$. We note that Z is a rationally connected variety since Z is a Fano manifold (see [KMM92]). Then the morphism $S := \pi^{-1}(B) \to B$ is isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface with the ruling $\mathbb{F}_m = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ for some $m \geq 0$. We note that $E \cap S \subset S$ is a reduced divisor and $\sigma(E \cap S) = \mathrm{pt}$. Thus the Stein factorization $\sigma' \colon S \to T$ of the morphism $\sigma|_S \colon S \to V$ satisfies either of the following:

1. $m = 0$ and σ' is a projection onto $\mathbb{P}^1$.
2. $m > 0$ and σ' is the contraction morphism contracting the $(-m)$-curve.

Therefore $E \cap S \subset S$ is the sum of disjoint union of sections of $\pi|_S$ in any case. However, this contradict to the choice of $z \in Z$ since $z \in Z$ is a branch point of $\pi|_E$. $\square$

Thus we can write $Y = \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E} := \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(E)$. We take $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(-s)$. We have $s \geq 0$; if R is of type $(n-1, 0)$ then $s > 0$ since $\mathcal{O}_E(E)$ is anti-ample, if R is of type $(n, 1)$ then $s = 0$ since E is a general smooth fiber of σ.

We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \to \mathcal{O}_E(E) \to 0.$$

We obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_Z(-s) \to 0.$$

We have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(-s)$ since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Z(-s), \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$. Consequently, $r > s (\geq 0)$ holds since $\mathcal{O}_E(-K_Y|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(-K_E + E|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(r - s)$ is ample. $\square$

Remark 2.4. The ray R in Proposition 2.2 is

(a) of type $(n-1, 0)$ if and only if $s > 0$,

(b) of type $(n, 1)$ if and only if $s = 0$ (hence $Y \simeq Z \times \mathbb{P}^1$).

We also remark that the case (a) is exactly the case in [Fuj12, Proposition 2.5].

Now, we prove the easy direction of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \geq 3$. We assume that $X = \mathrm{Bl}_W Y$ and X satisfies the conditions of* (2a), (2b) *and* (2c) *in Theorem 1.1. Then X is a Fano manifold if and only if $r > d - s$.*

Proof. Let $e_0 \subset Z$ be a general irreducible curve, m be the intersection number $(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot e_0)$, $E \subset Y$ be the section of π with $\mathcal{N}_{E/Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(-s)$ and $E \subset X$ be its strict=total transform (same notation but there are no confusion). Let $D' \subset X$ be the strict transform of $D \subset Y$, $e \subset E$ and $e' \subset D'$ be the strict transform of the curve $e_0 \subset Z$. Finally, let $F \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of the blowing up $\phi\colon X \to Y$, $f \subset X$ be a nontrivial fiber of ϕ and $f' \subset X$ be the strict transform of a fiber of π passing through $W \subset Y$. Then we can show the following:

Claim 2.6. (1) $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(2E - F) \otimes (\pi \circ \phi)^*\mathcal{O}_Z(r + s)$.

(2) $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[e] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[e'] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f']$.

(3) *For an irreducible curve $C \subset X$, $C \subset E$ holds if and only if $[C] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[e]$ holds.*

(4) *We obtain the following table of intersection numbers:*

	E	$(\pi\phi)^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$	F	$-K_X$
e	$-sm$	m	0	$(r-s)m$
e'	0	m	dm	$(r+s-d)m$
f	0	0	-1	1
f'	1	0	1	1

Therefore X is a Fano manifold if and only if $r > d - s$ by Claim 2.6. $\square$

Remark 2.7. The cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is spanned by four rays if and only if $d - s > 0$, three rays if and only if $d - s \le 0$ by Claim 2.6.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_X \ge 3$ and there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(n-1, 0)$. We can assume $\rho_X = 3$ by [Tsu06, Proposition 5] and [Cas09, Proposition 3.1]. Let $E := \mathrm{Exc}(R)$. We note that $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E, X) = 1$ holds. We start to prove Theorem 1.1 by seeing the cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ in detail.

Proposition 3.1. *For any extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ different from R, the ray R_0 is of birational type. Furthermore, we have the following properties:*

(1) *If $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) \ne \emptyset$, then we have*

  (a) $(E \cdot R_0) > 0$,

  (b) *R_0 is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ (let $F_0 \subset X$ be its exceptional divisor),*

  (c) $(F_0 \cdot R) > 0$.

(2) *If $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) = \emptyset$, then $R + R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ is an extremal face of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ be an arbitrally extremal ray different from R.

We assume that $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) \ne \emptyset$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{cont}_{R_0}(E) \ne \mathrm{pt}$. Hence (1) holds by Lemma 2.1. We note that if the morphism $\mathrm{cont}_{R_0}\colon X \to Y_0$ is a conic bundle, then $\rho_{Y_0} = 1$ hence $\rho_X = 2$ since $\mathrm{cont}_{R_0}(E) = Y_0$ and $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E, X) = 1$.

We assume that $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) = \emptyset$. It is obvious that R_0 is of birational type. If $R + R_0$ does not span an extremal face, then there exists an extremal ray $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ different from R such that $(E \cdot R') < 0$ since $(E \cdot R) < 0$ and $(E \cdot R_0) = 0$. However, applying (1) for R', this leads to a contradiction.

In particular, R_0 is of birational type in any case. $\square$

The second step of the proof is to consider extremal rays of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$, in any case the image of the contraction morphism is again a Fano manifold and has a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure. Furthermore, we can see that there is an elementally transform factoring X.

Proposition 3.2. *For any extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$, let $\phi_0 := \mathrm{cont}_{R_0} \colon X \to Y_0$, let $F_0 \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_0 and let $W_0 \subset Y_0$ be the* (*smooth*) *center of the blowing up ϕ_0. Then Y_0 is a Fano manifold which has a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure $\pi \colon Y_0 \to Z$. Furthermore, there exists an elementally transform factoring X. More precisely, there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X \arrow[dl, "\phi'"'] \arrow[dr, "\phi_0"] & \\
Y' \arrow[dr, "\pi'"'] & & Y_0 \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
 & Z &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Y' is a smooth projective variety, ϕ' is the blowing up (*different from* ϕ_0) *along smooth subvariety of codimension* 2 *whose exceptional divisor id the strict transform of the divisor $\pi^{-1}(\pi(W_0))$ and π' is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.*

Proof. Let $E_0 := \phi_0(E)$. We prove Proposition 3.2 by dividing these two cases:

(A) $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) \neq \emptyset$,

(B) $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) = \emptyset$.

Claim 3.3. *Y_0 is a Fano manifold.*

Proof of Claim 3.3. We consider the case (A). It is enough to show $(-K_{Y_0} \cdot C) > 0$ for all irreducible curves in W_0 since $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(Y_0) = \mathrm{NE}(Y_0)$. We note that $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E_0, Y_0) = 1$. Thus all curves in E_0 are numerically proportional. Hence $(-K_{Y_0} \cdot C) > 0$ holds.

We consider the case (B). We know that $R + R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ is an extremal face by Proposition 3.1 (2). Let $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ be the unique extremal ray different from R and R_0 such that $R_0 + R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ spans an extremal face. We have $(E \cdot R_1) > 0$ since $(E \cdot R_0) = 0$ and $(E \cdot R) < 0$. Thus R_1 is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Proposition 3.1 (1). Let $\phi_1 := \mathrm{cont}_{R_1} \colon X \to Y_1$, $F_1 \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_1 and $W_1 \subset Y_1$ be the (smooth) center of the blowing up ϕ_1. We have $F_0 \neq F_1$ since $E \cap F_0 = \emptyset$ and $E \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists a nontrivial fiber $C_1 \subset X$ of ϕ_1 such that $C_1 \not\subset F_0$. We consider the surjective map $\phi_{0*} : \mathrm{NE}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{NE}(Y_0)$. Let R_{Y_0}, $R_{1Y_0} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y_0)$ be the images of R, $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$, respectively. Then the cone $\mathrm{NE}(Y_0)$ is spanned by R_{Y_0}, R_{1Y_0}. We note that R_{Y_0} is a K_X-negative extremal ray of type $(n-1, 0)$ since $(E_0 \cdot R_{Y_0}) < 0$. Since R_{1Y_0} is spanned by the class $[\phi_{0*} C_1]$ and

$$(-K_{Y_0} \cdot \phi_{0*} C_1) = (-K_X \cdot C_1) + (F_0 \cdot C_1) > 0,$$

both rays are K_X-negative. Hence Y_0 is a Fano manifold. ☐

There exists a K_X-negative extremal ray $R^0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y_0)$ such that $(E_0 \cdot R^0) > 0$ by Claim 3.3. Let $\pi := \mathrm{cont}_{R^0} \colon Y_0 \to Z$. By Lemma 2.1, the morphism π is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ or a conic bundle.

Claim 3.4. *$\pi|_{W_0} : W_0 \to Z$ is a finite morphism.*

Proof of Claim 3.4. We consider the case (A). If there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset W_0$ such that $\pi(C) = \mathrm{pt}$, then $\pi(E_0) = \mathrm{pt}$ holds since $W_0 \subset E_0$ and $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E_0, Y_0) = 1$. This leads to a contradiction.

We consider the case (B). If there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset W_0$ such that $\pi(C) = \{z\}$, then $\dim \phi_0^{-1}\pi^{-1}(z) = 2$. Hence there exists an irreducible curve $C' \subset E \cap \phi_0^{-1}\pi^{-1}(z)$, however we have $[C'] \in R \cap (R_0 + R_1)$. Hence this leads to a contradiction. □

Claim 3.5. *There exists an irreducible curve $f \subset Y_0$ with $[f] \in R^0$ such that $f \cap W_0 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof of Claim 3.5. We assume the contrary. We can assume that π is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$. Let $G \subset Y_0$ be the exceptional divisor of π.

We consider the case (A). We have $G \cap W_0 = \emptyset$ by assumption. We note that $E_0 \cap G \neq \emptyset$ and $E_0 \neq G$. Thus there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset E_0$ such that $(G \cdot C) > 0$ holds. Since $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(E_0, Y_0) = 1$, $(G \cdot C) > 0$ holds for any irreducible curve $C \subset E_0$. In particular, this holds for any curve in W_0 intersects G. This contradict to the property $G \cap W_0 = \emptyset$.

We consider the case (B). We have $\pi(E_0) \cap \pi(W_0) = \emptyset$ since $E_0 \cap W_0 = \emptyset$ and $G \cap W_0 = \emptyset$. We note that $\pi(E_0) \subset Z$ is a divisor and $\pi(W_0)$ contains a curve. This leads to a contradiction since $\rho_Z = 1$. □

For any irreducible curve $f \subset Y_0$ with $[f] \in R^0$ such that $f \cap W_0 \neq \emptyset$ (we note that such f always exists by Claim 3.5), we can pick the strict transform $\hat{f} \subset X$ by Claim 3.4. Then we have

$$0 < (-K_X \cdot \hat{f}) = (-K_{Y_0} \cdot f) - (F_0 \cdot \hat{f}).$$

Since π is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ or a conic bundle, we have $(-K_{Y_0} \cdot f) = 1$ or 2. Moreover, by the choice of f, we have $(F_0 \cdot \hat{f}) \geq 1$. Hence $(-K_{Y_0} \cdot f) = 2$ and $(F_0 \cdot \hat{f}) = 1$ holds. Therefore we can show that π is a conic bundle and $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle around f, $\deg(\pi|_{W_0}) = 1$ and $\Delta_\pi \cap \pi(W_0) = \emptyset$, where Δ_π be the discriminant divisor of the conic bundle π (see for example [Wiś91, §4]). We have $\Delta_\pi = \emptyset$ since $\rho_Z = 1$. Therefore π is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. Thus there exists an elementally transform passing through X. More presicely, X has a contraction morphism $\phi' : X \to Y'$, the exceptional divisor is the strict transform of $\pi^{-1}\pi(W_0)$, and Y' has a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure $\pi' \colon Y' \to Z$ such that $\pi \circ \phi_0 = \pi' \circ \phi'$. □

Remark 3.6. There exists an extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that $(E \cdot R_0) > 0$ holds since X is a Fano manifold. Then R_0 is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus R_0 satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.2. In particular, there exists at least two rays in $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ (R_0 and its elementally transform).

Remark 3.7. If there exists an extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R_0) = \emptyset$ holds, then Y_0 has two extremal contractions such that:

(1) $(n-1,0)$-type that maps E_0 to a point, and

(2) $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure

by the proof of Proposition 3.2, where let $\mathrm{cont}_{R_0}\colon X \to Y_0$. Hence $Y_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$ such that Z is a Fano manifold of $\rho_Z = 1$ with index r such that the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ and $r > s > 0$ by Proposition 2.2. Since $W_0 \cap E_0 = \emptyset$ and $\deg \pi|_{W_0} = 1$ holds, there exists $D \subset Y_0$, the unique section of π, such that $E_0 \cap D = \emptyset$ and $W_0 \subset D$ holds. Since $W_0 \subset D$ is a smooth divisor, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W_0 \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$. We note that $r > d - s$ holds by Lemma 2.5.

Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.1, it is eonugh to show that there exists an extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ with $(E \cdot R_0) = 0$.

We consider two cases whether there exists another "special" extremal ray different from R or not.

Proposition 3.8. *If there exists an extremal ray* $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ *different from* R *such that* R' *is not of type* $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$. *Then there exists an extremal ray* $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ *of type* $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ *with* $(E \cdot R_0) = 0$.

Proof. There exists a closed subvariety $E' \subset X$ with $\dim E' \geq 2$ such that $\mathrm{cont}_{R'}(E') = \mathrm{pt}$ by Proposition 3.1. We note that $E \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R') = \emptyset$ and $R + R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ spans an extremal face by Proposition 3.1. We denote

$$\mathrm{NE}(X) = R + R' + R_1 + \cdots + R_m,$$

where the set of 2-dimensional extremal faces of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is $\{R + R', R' + R_1, R_1 + R_2, \cdots, R_{m-1} + R_m, R_m + R\}$. We have $m \geq 2$ by Remark 3.6.

We assume that $(E \cdot R_i) > 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then R_i is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Proposition 3.1. Let $\phi_i := \mathrm{cont}_{R_i}\colon X \to Y_i$, let $F_i \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_i and let $W_i \subset Y_i$ be the (smooth) center of the blowing up ϕ_i.

Claim 3.9. $(F_i \cdot R') = 0$ *holds.*

Proof of Claim 3.9. If $(F_i \cdot R') \neq 0$, then $F_i \cap E' \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset F_i \cap E'$. We consider the surjective map $\phi_{i*} : \mathrm{NE}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{NE}(Y_i)$. Let R_{Y_i}, $R'_{Y_i} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y_i)$ be the images of R, $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$, respectively. It is obvious that $R_{Y_i} \cap R'_{Y_i} = \{0\} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y_i)$. We have $[\phi_{i*}(C)] \in R'_{Y_i}$ since $C \subset E'$. We also have $[\phi_{i*}(C)] \in R_{Y_i}$ since $\phi_i(C) \subset W_i \subset \phi_i(E)$ and $\dim \mathrm{N}_1(\phi_i(E), Y_i) = 1$. However, if $\phi_i(C) = \mathrm{pt}$ then we have $[C] \in R_i \cap R' = \{0\}$. Thus $\phi_i(C) \neq \mathrm{pt}$, this leads to a contradiction. □

Claim 3.10. *For any* $2 \leq i \leq m$, *we have* $(E \cdot R_i) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 3.10. It is enough to show that $(E \cdot R_i) \leq 0$ for any $2 \leq i \leq m$ by Proposition 3.1.

We assume that there exists $2 \leq i \leq m$ such that $(E \cdot R_i) > 0$. Then R_i is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Proposition 3.1. Let $\phi_i := \mathrm{cont}_{R_i}\colon X \to Y_i$, let $F_i \subset X$

be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_i and let $W_i \subset Y_i$ be the (smooth) center of the blowing up ϕ_i.

$(E \cdot R') = 0$ and $(E \cdot R_i) > 0$ holds since $(E \cdot R) < 0$. Thus we have $(E \cdot R_1) > 0$. Hence R_1 is also of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Proposition 3.1. Let $\phi_1 := \mathrm{cont}_{R_1} \colon X \to Y_1$, let $F_1 \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_1 and let $W_1 \subset Y_1$ be the (smooth) center of the blowing up ϕ_1.

We note that $(F_i \cdot R) > 0$ by Claim 3.9. Thus $(F_i \cdot R_1) < 0$ holds since $(F_i \cdot R) > 0$, $(F_i \cdot R') = 0$ and $(F_i \cdot R_i) < 0$. Hence we have $C_1 \subset F_i$ for any nontrivial fiber $C_1 \subset X$ of ϕ_1. Hence $F_1 = F_i$ holds. We have $\phi_i(C_1) \neq \mathrm{pt}$ and Y_i is a Fano manifold by Proposition 3.2.Thus we have

$$0 < (-K_{Y_i} \cdot \phi_{i*}C_1) = (-K_X \cdot C_1) + (F_i \cdot C_1).$$

However, $(F_i \cdot C_1) = -1$ holds since $F_1 = F_i$, and $(-K_X \cdot C_1) = 1$. Thus $(-K_{Y_i} \cdot \phi_{i*}C_1) = 0$ holds. This leads to a contradiction. $\square$

We have $m = 2$ and $(E \cdot R_2) = 0$ and $(E \cdot R_1) > 0$ by Claim 3.10. The rays R_1 and R_2 are of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by Remark 3.6. Therefore the ray R_2 is exactly the extremal ray what we want. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. *If all extremal rays different from R are of type $(n-1, n-2)^{\mathrm{sm}}$, there exists an extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $(E \cdot R_0) = 0$.*

Proof. We denote

$$\mathrm{NE}(X) = R + R_1 + \cdots + R_m,$$

where the set of 2-dimensional extremal faces of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is $\{R + R_1, R_1 + R_2, \cdots, R_{m-1} + R_m, R_m + R\}$. Let $\phi_i := \mathrm{cont}_{R_i} \colon X \to Y_i$, let $F_i \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_i and let $W_i \subset Y_i$ be the (smooth) center of the blowing up ϕ_i for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. We can assume that $(E \cdot R_1) > 0$.

Let $E_1 := \phi_1(E) \subset Y_1$. Y_1 is a Fano manifold having $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure By Proposition 3.2. We consider the surjective map $\phi_{1*} : \mathrm{NE}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{NE}(Y_1)$. Let R_{Y_1}, $R_{2Y_1} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y_1)$ be the images of R, $R_2 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$, respectively. Then the cone $\mathrm{NE}(Y_1)$ is spanned by R_{Y_1}, R_{2Y_1} and $\mathrm{cont}_{R_{Y_1}}(E_1) = \mathrm{pt}$. Thus we have $Y_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$ such that Z is a Fano manifold of $\rho_Z = 1$ with index r such that the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ and $r > s \geq 0$ and E_1 is a section of π (we note that $W_1 \subset E_1$) by Proposition 2.2. We consider the elementally transform of π factoring X. More precisely, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X \arrow[dl, "\phi'"'] \arrow[dr, "\phi_1"] & \\
Y' \arrow[dr, "\pi'"'] & & Y_1 \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
 & Z &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with Y' is a smooth projective variety, and π' is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and ϕ' is the blowing up (different from ϕ_0) along a smooth subvariety of codimension 2 such that the exceptional divisor is the strict transform of $\pi^{-1}\pi(W_1)$.

There exists an extremal ray $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that $(E \cdot R') = 0$ since $\mathrm{Exc}(\phi') \cap E = \emptyset$. The ray R' is exactly the extremal ray what we want. $\square$

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[And85] T. Ando, *On extremal rays of the higher-dimensional varieties*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 2, 347–357.

[BCW02] L. Bonavero, F. Campana, and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Variétés projectives complexes dont l'éclatée en un point est de Fano*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (2002), no. 6, 463–468.

[Cas09] C. Casagrande, *On Fano manifolds with a birational contraction sending a divisor to a curve*, Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 3, 783–805.

[Fuj12] K. Fujita, *The sum of the length minus one of extremal rays on Fano manifolds*, preprint.

[KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), no. 3, 765–779.

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[MM81] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$*, Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981), no. 2, 147–162. Erratum: **110** (2003), no. 3, 407.

[Tsu06] T. Tsukioka, *Classification of Fano manifolds containing a negative divisor isomorphic to projective space*, Geom. Dedicata **123** (2006), 179–186.

[Wiś91] J. A. Wiśniewski, *On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 141–157.

K. Fujita
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences (RIMS), Kyoto University,
Oiwake-cho, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
fujita@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp